

February 28, 2014

“Men in general are quick to believe that which they wish to be true.”
— Julius Caesar

One of my most memorable trades was a time I bought short-dated out-of-the-money S&P 500 index calls from a systematic overwriter. I remember buying these short-dated calls every month and needing to request a position limit exemption from the exchange in order to inventory several hundred thousand index options. I lost money on these calls almost every month I bought them. It was painful. That is, until the time they finally paid off.

I estimated the odds of getting an eventual payout were ultimately in my favor, making the trade a positive expected value bet over time. The problem was *time*. How much time did I have to endure, continuously losing money buying these? The options appeared “cheap,” I calculated they had positive “edge,” but I knew I was likely to lose in the short term and did not know how long I would suffer. My estimates indicated it could take about two years of continuous buying and bleeding premium (pain) prior to the expected payoff.

Fortunately, I got lucky and it only took about six months of consistently buying these calls until the options were worth about 50 times what I paid. I always remember those trades when I hear about weekly options.

Weekly options are the new most exciting trade in the market and much of the commentary is centered around selling them. Recent data indicate that more than a third of the volume in the S&P 500 options market has an expiration of less than two weeks. When you consider the sheer size of the S&P 500 market, which trades hundreds of billions of dollars of daily notional volume, you can see just how important the weekly contracts are.

Most of the research on weekly index options is limited to a few short years of backtests that generally promote naked selling in some way, shape or form. Often, these “high Sharpe, low risk” strategies start after 2008. Many people market these ideas, purporting that the blind selling of weekly options results in free money. We disagree.

At current premium levels, these strategies could have dangerous consequences. Systematically selling unhedged weekly index options is not as safe as it appears. While the S&P 500 weekly options have only actively traded for a few years, we have the benefit of observing the underlying index returns for decades.

How does selling naked weekly strangles in the S&P 500 at today’s option prices perform over long periods?

Currently, selling a 3% out-of-the-money strangle on the S&P 500 with 5 trading days to maturity will generate about \$2 in premium or 11bps (with the index at \$1875). How does a strategy of selling weekly strangles perform through time if the option premiums are fixed at today's prices?

Since 1950, the S&P 500 has risen or declined more than 3% in 5-day rolling periods about 12% of the time. Most of the time—about 88% of the time—the naked strangle seller retains the \$2. What happens the other 12% of the time when the market moves more than 3% in a week?




Figure 1: A histogram of the \$ P/L of selling a 5-day 3% out-of-the-money put and 3% out-of-the-money call on the S&P 500 using 5-day rolling market moves since 1950 using today's option premiums as fixed.

While 88% of the time the seller earns his \$2 (or 11bps), the average loss in the other 12% of the time is about \$27.45 (or 146 bps of the index). The maximum loss was as high as \$455 (or 24% of the index).

The expected value is therefore $(88\% \times 11\text{bps}) + (12\% \times -146\text{bps}) = -8\text{bps}$. Translated into dollars, you risk losing \$1.48 per trade.

Based on this simple exercise, the expected loss of selling these options at current premium levels is only slightly better than the expected (negative) payout a casino owner will give you at

the roulette table. Just like roulette, you may show profits over stretches of time. Ultimately, however, a negative expected value trade repeated over time will wipe you out.

The risk of ruin from systematically investing in negative edge trades over time is extremely high.

At the current low premiums, the math shows the odds favor buying the weekly options. How high must the premiums be on the weekly options such that the odds favor selling them? An easy exercise is to calculate the breakeven point based on the historical 5-day movements of the S&P 500.




Figure 2: Current option premiums and option premiums required to break even by trading a 5-day at-the-money straddle or out-of-the-money strangle at different strike prices.

From our earlier example, the 5-day 3% out-of-the-money strangle breakeven level is 18.5bps. If the premium collected on the strangle exceeds 18.5bps, selling it would have a positive expected value according to this analysis.

It is important to remember, however, that having positive edge on any given option trade at a single point in time is not a guarantee of future profit. The edge can vary widely and the time required to realize the edge may be longer than an investor’s willingness to wait.

We wrote about how long an investor should expect to wait before an investment pays off in a letter from last year.¹ Many would rather receive “certain” near-term income with the belief that the inevitable is far off in the future.

Trading weekly options can be a profitable and positive expected value business if done correctly. This involves knowing when to sell *and* buy them, how to size the positions and how to hedge them—all processes that require tremendous discipline. Being married to one side of the trade is dangerous.

¹ http://lakehillgroup.com/files/Lake_Hill_Capital_Management_May_2013_blog.pdf

Important information regarding the information provided herein.

Not an offer and confidential. This communication is provided for your internal use only. The information contained herein is proprietary and confidential to Lake Hill Capital Management LLC (“Lake Hill”) and may not be disclosed to third parties or duplicated or used for any purpose other than the purpose for which it has been provided. Although any of the information provided has been obtained from sources which Lake Hill believes to be reliable, we do not guarantee its accuracy, and such information may be incomplete or condensed. The information is subject to change without notice. This communication is for information purposes only and is not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security or of any fund or account Lake Hill manages or offers. Since we furnish all information as part of a general information service and without regard to your particular circumstances, Lake Hill shall not be liable for any damages arising out of any inaccuracy in the information.

This document should not be the basis of an investment decision. An Investment decision should be based on your customary and thorough due diligence procedures, which should include, but not be limited to, a thorough review of all relevant term sheets, offering documents, strategy descriptions as well as consultation with legal, tax and regulatory experts. Any person subscribing or considering an investment must be able to bear the risks involved and must meet the suitability requirements of the particular fund (each a “Fund” and, collectively, “Funds”) or account. Some or all alternative investment programs may not be suitable for certain investors. No assurance can be given that any Fund or Strategy will meet its investment objectives or avoid losses, including a complete loss. A discussion of some, but not all, of the risks associated with investing in the Funds or Strategies can be found in the private placement memoranda, subscription agreement, limited partnership agreement, articles of association or other documents as applicable to the given Fund or Strategy (collectively the “Offering Documents”), among those risks, which we wish to call to your attention, are the following:

- **Future looking statements, Performance Data and Strategy level performance reporting:** The information in this communication is NOT intended to contain or express exposure recommendations, guidelines or limits applicable to a Fund or Strategy. Investors should understand and consider risks when making an investment decision. This communication is not intended to aid an investor in evaluating such risks. The terms set forth in the Offering Documents are controlling in all respects should they conflict with any other term set forth in other marketing materials, and therefore, the Offering Documents must be reviewed carefully before making an investment and periodically while an investment is maintained. Statements made in this communication include forward-looking statements. These statements, including those relating to future financial expectations, involve certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Unless otherwise indicated, Performance Data is presented “net” of Management Fees and other Fund Expenses (i.e. legal, accounting and other expenses as disclosed in the relevant Fund’s Private Offering Memorandum) and “net” of Performance Fees. Performance Data is unaudited and subject to revision. Performance Data may be hypothetical, based on simulated or backtested data. Performance information should not be relied upon as a precise reporting of gross or net performance, but rather merely a general indication of past performance. Accordingly, the performance is not necessarily indicative of results that the Funds or Strategies may achieve in the future. If index information is included, it is merely to show the general trend in the markets in the periods indicated and is not intended to imply that the portfolio was similar to the indices in either composition or element of risk. This communication may indicate that it contains hypothetical or actual performance of specific strategies employed by Lake Hill, such strategies may comprise only a portion of any specific Fund’s portfolio, and therefore, the reported strategy level performance may not correspond to the performance of any Fund for the reported time period.
- **Investment Risks:** The Funds and Strategies are speculative and involve varying degrees of risk, including substantial degrees of risk in some cases. The Funds or Strategies may be leveraged and may engage in other speculative investment practices that may increase the risk of investment loss. Past results of the Funds, Strategies and investment manager are not necessarily indicative of future performance and performance may be volatile. The use of a single advisor could mean lack of diversification and, consequently, higher risk. The Funds and Strategies may have varying liquidity provisions and limitations. There is no secondary market for investors’ interests in any of the Funds or Strategies and none is expected to develop.
- **Not Legal, Accounting or Regulatory Advice:** This material is not intended to represent the rendering of accounting, tax, legal or regulatory advice. A change in the facts or circumstances of any transaction could materially affect the accounting, tax, legal or regulatory treatment for that transaction. The ultimate responsibility for the decision on the appropriate application of accounting, tax, legal and regulatory treatment rests with the client and his or her accountants, tax and regulatory counsel. Potential Investors should consult, and must rely on their own professional tax, legal and investment advisors as to matters concerning the Fund or Strategies. Prospective Investors should inform themselves as to: (1) the legal requirements within their own jurisdictions for the purchase, holding or disposal of Shares; (2) applicable foreign exchange restrictions; and (3) any income and other taxes which may apply to their purchase, holding and disposal of Shares or payments in respect of the Shares of the Fund or Strategies.